CIRCULAR ARRAYS OF LOG-PERIODIC ANTENNAS FOR BROADBAND APPLICATIONS
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ABSTRACT

Circular arrays of log-periodic (LP) antennas are designed for broadband applications. A sophisticated electromagnetic simulation environment involving integral equations and fast solvers is developed to analyze the LP arrays both accurately and efficiently. The resulting matrix equation obtained by the discretization of the electric field integral equation is solved iteratively via the multilevel fast multipole algorithm (MLFMA). Genetic algorithms interacting with MLFMA is employed to optimize the excitations of the array elements to increase the frequency independence and also to add the beam-steering ability to the arrays.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Log-periodic (LP) antennas are known to display frequency-independent characteristics over a wide range of frequencies [1]. Since the circular arrangements of identical LP antennas are also expected to operate nearly independent of frequency, it is also desirable to employ LP antennas in constructing circular arrays to obtain a beam-steering ability for radar applications. However, mutual couplings between closely located LP antennas have been observed to deteriorate the frequency-independence property [2]. In this study, we present our efforts to construct circular arrays of LP antennas with broadband characteristics by employing a powerful electromagnetic simulation environment.

To analyze the LP arrays accurately, we consider all the electromagnetic interactions by formulating the problem with the electric-field integral equation (EFIE) [3]. Feeds of the antennas are carefully modelled by current sources attached to the antennas at the feed locations or voltage sources obtained by delta-gap excitations. For efficiency, the resulting matrix equation is solved iteratively, where the matrix-vector products are accelerated by the multilevel fast multipole algorithm (MLFMA) [4].

To reduce the effects of the mutual couplings and obtain broadband operation, we maximize the directivity of the array by finding the best combination for the excitations of the antennas. The required optimization is achieved by genetic algorithms (GAs) [5] and by using superposition techniques on the complex far-field radiations. Similar optimizations are employed to find the excitations that provide the maximum directive gain in a given direction, and hence, to add the beam-steering ability to the array.

2. CIRCULAR ARRAYS OF LOG-PERIODIC ANTENNAS AND THEIR ANALYSIS

Fig. 1(a) shows a trapezoidal-tooth LP antenna, which is designed for broadband applications in the 300-800 MHz range [1]. The geometric growth factor of the antenna is \( \tau = 0.95 \), which means that the two consecutive elements on the antenna are scaled versions of each other with a scale constant of 0.95. The arms of the antenna expand from the source location with an angle of 30°, and the \( 2 \times 38 \) teeth are attached to the center strips with constant widths. The arms of the antenna are separated by an angle of 45°. Using the antenna in Fig. 1(a), a 2-element circular array of identical LP antennas is constructed as depicted in Fig. 1(b), where the two antennas are separated by 40°. This way, it becomes possible to feed the two antennas independently to steer the main beam and the overall structure is still log-periodic so that the array should also be nearly frequency-independent. Similar to the 2-element array in Fig. 1(b), we also construct 3-element and 4-element LP arrays as depicted in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b), respectively.

To analyze the LP arrays, the surfaces of the antennas...
are modelled with perfectly conducting sheets. Then, the radiation problem is formulated by EFIE derived from the boundary condition for the tangential electric field. Expressing the scattered electric field in terms of the induced surface current $J$, we obtain EFIE in the $\exp(-i\omega t)$ convention as

$$\hat{t} \cdot \int_{S'} dr' \mathbf{G}(r, r') \cdot J(r') = \frac{i}{k\eta} \hat{t} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{inc}(r),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $\hat{t}$ is any tangential vector on the conducting surface, $\mathbf{E}^{inc}$ is the incident electric field created by the excitations of the antennas, $k$ is the wavenumber, $\eta$ is the characteristic impedance of the free space,

$$\mathbf{G}(r, r') = \left[ \mathbf{I} + \frac{\nabla \nabla}{k^2} \right] g(r, r')$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

is the dyadic Green’s function, and

$$g(r, r') = \frac{e^{ik|r-r'|}}{4\pi|r-r'|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

is the Green’s function for the three-dimensional Helmholtz equation.

In order to simultaneously discretize the geometry and EFIE, we expand the unknown surface current in a series of Rao-Wilton-Glisson (RWG) [6] basis functions defined on small planar triangles as

$$\mathbf{J}(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \mathbf{b}_n(r)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $a_n$ is the unknown coefficient of the $n$th basis function and $N$ is the number of unknowns. Projection of
EFIE in (1) onto the RWG testing functions $t_m$, we obtain the matrix equation

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{mn} E_n a_n = v_m E, \quad m = 1, \ldots, N, \quad (5)
$$

where

$$
Z_{mn} E = \int_{S_m} dr t_m(r) \cdot \int_{S_n} dr' G(r, r') \cdot b_n(r') \quad (6)
$$

represents the matrix element, and

$$
v_m E = \frac{i}{\kappa \eta} \int_{S_m} dr t_m(r) \cdot E^{inc}(r) \quad (7)
$$

represents the $m$th element of the excitation vector. In (6) and (7), $S_m$ and $S_n$ symbolize the spatial supports of the $m$th testing and $n$th basis functions, respectively.

Iterative solution of the $N \times N$ matrix equation in (5) via MLFMA gives the coefficients for the expansion in (4). Then, the radiation intensity of the array is calculated as

$$
f(\theta, \phi) = \frac{k^2 \eta^2}{4\pi} \left| \hat{\theta} \cdot F(\theta, \phi) + \hat{\phi} \cdot F(\theta, \phi) \right|^2, \quad (8)
$$

where

$$
F(\theta, \phi) = \int_{S} dr J(r) \exp(-ik \cdot r)
$$

$$
= \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \int_{S} dr b_n(r) \exp(-ik \cdot r) \quad (9)
$$

represents the vector current moment. Since we desire to optimize the excitations of the antennas in the arrays, it is required to test a number of different values for the feeds. Although we employ GAs in order to reduce the number of trials, it is difficult to solve every radiation problem during the optimization. We therefore employ a superposition technique so that the number of MLFMA solutions can be kept as low as the number of elements in the array. In each solution, we feed only one of the antennas with the excitation strength of unity. The vector current moment $F(\theta, \phi)$ in (9) is then calculated and stored in the memory. We note that the solution by exciting one of the antennas in the array is different from the solution of a single antenna alone, since the former includes the mutual couplings between the antennas. Whenever it is required to test a set of values for the excitations, the vector current moments are multiplied by the corresponding coefficients and superposed to obtain a single vector current moment for the whole array. Then, we evaluate (8) to calculate the radiation intensity of the array. We note that this procedure is applied at each frequency.


3. Employing Genetic Algorithms for Beam-Steering

In this work, we employ GAs to add beam-steering ability to the LP arrays. Since it is desired to point the main beam in a specific direction, we choose the directive gain as the cost function of the optimization. The directive gain is defined as

\[ D(\theta, \phi) = \frac{4\pi f(\theta, \phi)}{P(\theta, \phi)} \]  

as a function of spherical coordinates \((\theta, \phi)\), where

\[ P(\theta, \phi) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi f(\theta, \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi \]

and \( f(\theta, \phi) \) represents the radiation intensity derived in (8). We employ GAs to optimize the excitations of the array elements that maximize the directive gain in (10). Such an optimization of the directive gain in some given \((\theta, \phi)\) provides the steering of the main beam towards that direction. In addition, the radiation characteristic of the array is stabilized with respect to frequency and the array becomes more frequency-independent by the optimization.

GAs work on a pool of citizens, each of which represents a trial combination of the optimization variables. We employ a one-to-one map to convert the values represented by each trial into a single lengthy word of binary numbers, called the chromosome. Each citizen also has a degree of success, which is simply the value of the cost function of the optimization, i.e., the directive gain at the optimization angle. We define the successful citizen as the set of excitations, which results in high directive gain. In the beginning of the optimization, the citizens are created randomly. The optimization is then continued as new generations are formed and the pool is modified progressively. Heuristically, as the new generations are produced and the pool evolves, the overall success of the population increases. In the extreme case, all the citizens are the same with the highest possible success after a number of generations. Then, the optimization is completed and any citizen gives the optimal values via an inverse mapping from the chromosome to the excitations. However, it is usually sufficient to interrupt the iterations after a number of generations and select the most successful citizen in the pool as the optimization result.

In our optimizations for the LP arrays, we use pools with 20–30 citizens and keep the mutation rate for each digit of the chromosomes at about 5%. The limit for the number of generations is selected to be 50, i.e., we stop the iterations after the 50th generation. Therefore, the number of trials to complete the optimization is about 1000–1500. For the 3-element and 4-element LP arrays leading to large optimization spaces, the benefit gained...
from GAs becomes essential compared to the brute-force approach that has prohibitively high computational cost.

4. RESULTS

Fig. 3 presents the normalized radiation intensity of the 2-element array in Fig. 1(b) for different frequencies and various rotated orientations as shown in the first column, i.e., 0°, 10°, 20°, and 30° from top to bottom. In all cases, the directive gain is optimized in the $-x$ direction by the GAs. This way, we test the array for a beam-steering ability in a $2 \times 30^\circ$ range considering the symmetry of the array geometry. We observe that the GAs provide a main lobe consistently in the $-x$ direction even though the array is rotated for $30^\circ$. However, it is obvious that the side lobes become larger and quality of the radiation drops as the steering angle is increased. In Fig. 3, we also note that the radiation of the array looks similar for different frequencies, i.e., at 400 MHz, 550 MHz, and 700 MHz, and broadband operation is achieved.

For the 3-element and 4-element array in Fig. 2, the radiation patterns are depicted in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, respectively. As the array is constructed by more elements, the beamwidth becomes narrower but more side lobes appear. In all cases for both arrays, the main beam is directed to $-x$ direction and beam-steering is obtained in the $2 \times 30^\circ$ range. In addition, the arrays provide broadband operation, which becomes relatively more difficult to satisfy for the 4-element array due to the increased mutual couplings between the antennas.

Finally, to compare the performances of the three arrays, Fig. 6 presents the directive gain in the $-x$ direction for different orientations of the arrays. When the arrays are not rotated, Fig. 6(a) shows that 3-element and 4-element arrays give larger directive gains compared to the 2-element array. However, their gains are less stable with respect to frequency and oscillates more than the gain of the 2-element array. When the beam is steered for a small angle, such as $10^\circ$, more populous arrays still provide larger directive gain for all frequencies. This is also true in average for higher angles of beam-steering. However, when the steering angle is further increased to $20^\circ$ and $30^\circ$, 3-element and 4-element arrays cannot provide higher directive gains compared to the 2-element array for some frequencies due to the oscillations.

5. CONCLUSION

Circular arrays of LP antennas are investigated in detail by employing a sophisticated electromagnetic simulation environment, where the radiation problems are formulated by EFIE and they are solved iteratively us-
ing MLFMA. Excitations of the elements of the arrays are optimized by employing GAs in order to add a beam-steering ability to the arrays. This way, we obtain both steerable main beam and frequency-independent radiation in 300-800 MHz range.
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